

**Observations of Coupling Between Surface Wind Stress and Sea Surface Temperature
in the Eastern Tropical Pacific**

Dudley B. Chelton¹, Steven K. Esbensen¹, Michael G. Schlax¹, Nicolai Thum¹, Michael H. Freilich¹,
Frank J. Wentz², Chelle L. Gentemann², Michael J. McPhaden³ and Paul S. Schopf⁴

¹*College of Oceanic and Atmospheric Sciences, Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon*

²*Remote Sensing Systems, Santa Rosa, California*

³*NOAA/Pacific Marine Environmental Laboratory, Seattle, Washington*

⁴*Institute for Computational Sciences and Informatics, George Mason University, Fairfax, Virginia,
and Center for Ocean-Land-Atmosphere Studies, Calverton, Maryland*

December 20, 1999

Submitted to *Journal of Climate*

Corresponding author address: Dudley B. Chelton, College of Oceanic and Atmospheric Sciences, Oregon State University, 104 Ocean Admin Building, Corvallis, OR 97331-5503
(email address: chelton@oce.orst.edu)

Abstract

Three months of satellite measurements of surface wind stress from the QuikSCAT scatterometer and sea surface temperature (SST) from the TRMM Microwave Imager are analyzed to investigate ocean-atmosphere coupling in the eastern tropical Pacific. Oceanic tropical instability waves (TIWs) with periods of 20–40 days and wavelengths of 1000-2000 km perturb the SST fronts that bracket both sides of the equatorial cold tongue, which is centered near 1°S . These perturbations are characterized by cusp-shaped features that propagate systematically westward on both sides of the equator. The space-time structures of these SST perturbations are reproduced with remarkable detail in the surface wind stress field. The wind stress divergence is shown to be linearly related to the downwind component of the SST gradient with a response on the south side of the cold tongue that is about twice that on the north side. The wind stress curl is linearly related to the crosswind component of the SST gradient with a response that is approximately half that of the wind stress divergence response to the downwind SST gradient. The perturbed SST and wind stress fields propagate synchronously westward with the TIWs. This close coupling between SST and wind stress supports the Wallace et al. (1989) hypothesis that surface winds vary in response to SST modification of atmospheric boundary layer stability.

1. Introduction

A prominent feature of the eastern tropical Pacific Ocean is the equatorial cold tongue that extends west from South America far into the central equatorial Pacific. The latitude of the coldest water shifts northward from about 2°S in the far eastern Pacific to the equator west of about 130°W (Figure 1a). The intensity and spatial extent of this cold tongue vary seasonally (Mitchell and Wallace 1992) and interannually (Deser and Wallace 1990). During normal years, the cold tongue persists from May through November. The southeasterly tradewinds blow across this band of cold water and into the inter-tropical convergence zone (ITCZ) that is located $5\text{--}10^{\circ}$ north of the equator. The surface wind stress in this southeasterly cross-equatorial flow decreases by more than a factor of four over the cold tongue and then increases by almost the same amount to the north of the cold tongue (Figure 1b). Wallace et al. (1989) suggested that the coincidence of the cold water and light winds is indicative of oceanic modification of atmospheric boundary layer stability. The objective of this study is to analyze newly available satellite observations of surface wind stress and sea surface temperature (SST) to investigate this hypothesized ocean-atmosphere interaction.

The mechanism responsible for the proposed coupling between SST and surface wind stress is the change of boundary layer stability that occurs as air from the southern hemisphere crosses the cold tongue and blows over the warmer water to the north of the equator. The advection of relatively warm boundary-layer air over the cold tongue stabilizes the atmospheric boundary layer and inhibits downward turbulent mixing of northward momentum from aloft. This decoupling of the surface winds from the stronger winds at heights of 100 m or more above the sea surface (Bond 1992) decelerates the near-surface wind and results in low surface wind stress over the cold water. As the surface winds cross the SST front toward warmer water to the north of the cold tongue, the boundary layer is destabilized and surface sensible heat flux and evaporation increase greatly (Zhang and McPhaden 1995). Convection driven by the enhanced surface heat fluxes increases the downward flux of northward momentum from aloft and accelerates the surface winds toward the north. The downwind acceleration results in a divergence of the surface wind field over the northern SST front. This relationship between

the surface wind divergence and the SST field was first hypothesized by Wallace et al. (1989) from an analysis of large-scale climatological average wind and SST fields constructed from historical ship observations.

A unique wave-like feature of the oceanic circulation in the eastern tropical Pacific allows a statistical investigation of the relationship between SST and the surface winds. The intense latitudinal shears between the various components of the equatorial current system (Reverdin et al. 1994) cause the currents to become unstable (Philander 1978; Cox 1980; Luther and Johnson 1990). This generates “tropical instability waves” (TIWs) that propagate westward with periods of 20–40 days, wavelengths of 1000–2000 km and phase speeds of $\sim 0.5 \text{ ms}^{-1}$ (Qiao and Weisberg 1995). These unstable waves cause large cusp-shaped meridional perturbations of the SST front along the north side of the cold tongue (Legeckis 1977; Miller et al. 1985; Flament et al. 1996; Kennan and Flament 1999). TIW-induced oceanic eddy heat flux toward the equator has been shown to be comparable to the Ekman heat flux away from the equator and the large-scale net air-sea heat flux over the eastern tropical Pacific (Hansen and Paul 1984; Bryden and Brady 1989; Baturin and Niiler 1997; Wang and McPhaden 1999). TIWs are thus an important component of the large-scale heat balance of the equatorial cold tongue.

The space-time variability of the SST signatures of TIWs provides a stringent test of the hypothesized SST-induced atmospheric boundary layer modification. If perturbations of the surface wind stress field are controlled by perturbations of the underlying SST field, then the temporal and spatial variability of the wind stress field should vary quantitatively according to the orientation of the wind direction relative to the TIW-induced perturbations of the SST front. The monthly time scales and ~ 1500 km wavelength of these perturbations of the SST field effectively yield many realizations from which the relationships between winds and SST can be investigated statistically.

Time series of wind and SST measured from buoys along 110°W at 2°N , the equator and 2°S were analyzed by Hayes et al. (1989) to investigate the relationship between TIW-induced perturbations of SST and surface winds. Variations of SST and near-surface winds were found to be consistent with the hypothesized atmospheric boundary layer modification

by the underlying SST field. In accord with the expected collocation of wind divergence and SST gradients, they found a strong correlation between the meridional gradient of SST and the meridional gradient of the northward wind component.

The importance of SST-induced modifications of atmospheric stability has been further investigated from satellite observations of the geographical distribution of low-level cloudiness in relation to the SST signatures of TIWs (Deser et al. 1993). The highest cloud reflectivities were observed over warm SST perturbations, evidently because of increased convective mixing and deepening of the boundary layer in the unstable regime over the warmer water. Although surface wind information was not available, cloud reflectivity was locally high where isotherms were more nearly perpendicular to the climatological average wind direction.

Most recently, TIW-induced modification of the atmospheric boundary layer has been investigated by Xie et al. (1998) from weekly averages of satellite infrared observations of SST and Earth Remote Sensing (ERS-1) scatterometer estimates of the 10-m wind field. The detailed geographical distribution of wind divergence relative to the SST signatures of TIWs was difficult to discern, perhaps because of the severe sampling errors in weekly averaged wind fields constructed from the narrow 500-km swath width of the ERS-1 scatterometer (Schlax et al. 1999). Westward propagation of wind divergence anomalies at apparently the same phase speed as the TIW-induced SST perturbations was nonetheless evident. Xie et al. (1998) interpreted these observations in the context of a coarse-resolution atmosphere model forced by a fixed SST wave pattern. The model results suggest that TIW-induced perturbations of the low-level winds penetrate well above the atmospheric mixed layer, with variability detectable to at least 800 mb (about 2000 m above the sea surface). The model also suggests that the TIW-induced variability of the surface wind field may force a deep atmospheric response indicated by relatively weak precipitation anomalies over the ITCZ.

With the exception of the Hayes et al. (1989) analysis of buoy observations at 2°S, 110°W, all previous studies of TIW-induced ocean-atmosphere interaction have focused on the region north of the equator. It has recently been shown that TIW-induced perturbations of the SST field also occur south of the equator (Chelton et al. 1999b). As the air flows across the

perturbed southern SST front, the hypothesized SST modification of atmospheric boundary layer stability predicts that the wind stress will adjust to SST gradients in the same manner as the adjustment of the flow across the northern SST front. In regions where the wind blows from warm to cold water, the wind stress should decelerate, resulting in a convergence of low-level winds.

In this study, the hypothesized coupling between the surface wind field and SST is investigated from the first three months of surface wind stress measured by the QuikSCAT scatterometer. SST fields were constructed from near all-weather satellite observations of SST by the Microwave Imager onboard the Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission. By resolving space and time scales that have previously been unaddressable, these newly available datasets reveal ocean-atmosphere interaction with remarkably detailed space-time structure that supports the coupling between the surface wind stress and SST hypothesized by Wallace et al. (1989).

The satellite measurements of SST and winds are described and validated in section 2 from comparisons with in situ measurements by the Tropical Atmosphere-Ocean (TAO) buoys (McPhaden et al. 1998) in the eastern tropical Pacific. The structure of the relationship between the surface wind stress field and the underlying SST field is presented in section 3 and the synchronous westward propagation of TIW perturbations of the SST and wind stress fields is described in section 4. Implications of the observed coupling between the wind stress and SST are discussed in section 5.

2. Data Description and Validation

The SST data for this study were obtained from the TRMM Microwave Imager (TMI) onboard the Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) satellite (Kummerow et al. 1998). Because the atmosphere is nearly transparent to microwave radiation in non-raining conditions, the TMI provides a nearly uninterrupted record of the westward propagation of SST signatures of TIWs (Chelton et al. 1999b). Rain-contaminated observations are easily identified and eliminated from further analysis based on vertically and horizontally polarized brightness temperatures measured by the radiometer itself (Wentz and Spencer 1998).

The TMI operates at microwave frequencies of 10.7, 19.3, 21.3, 37.0 and 85.5 GHz. Dual polarization is measured at all frequencies except 21.3 GHz, for which only vertical polarization is measured. The primary channel for SST retrievals is 10.7 GHz. At this frequency in the absence of rain, the atmospheric contribution to the brightness temperatures is less than 3%. The SST retrieval algorithm uses the 19.3, 21.3 and 37.0 GHz channels to remove this small atmospheric signal. The more challenging aspect of the SST retrieval algorithm is the removal of wind speed and wind direction contributions to the 10.7 GHz brightness temperatures. This is achieved by taking advantage of a distinctive polarization dependence of the wind speed and SST signatures in the 10.7-GHz brightness temperatures. The sensitivity of horizontally polarized brightness temperature to wind speed is twice that of vertically polarized brightness temperature. The opposite is true for SST; the sensitivity of horizontally polarized brightness temperature to SST is only half that of vertically polarized brightness temperature. This “orthogonality” allows the simultaneous estimation of wind speed and SST.

There is no distinctive signature of the wind direction effect on 10.7-GHz brightness temperatures. At wind speeds below 7 m s^{-1} , the wind direction signal is very small and does not significantly affect the accuracy of SST retrievals. At higher wind speeds, however, the contribution of the wind direction effect can lead to SST retrieval errors in excess of 1°C . To reduce these errors, wind direction estimates are obtained from the operational analyses by the National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP). These wind directions are used to apply a correction to remove the wind directional effect on the horizontally and vertically polarized brightness temperatures.

A detailed description of the TMI retrieval algorithm is given by Wentz (1998). TMI estimates of SST are obtained from a physically based algorithm that matches the brightness temperatures to a radiative transfer model that is a function of SST, wind speed, columnar water vapor and columnar cloud liquid water. The algorithm is a direct extension of the algorithm described by Wentz (1997) for retrieval of wind speed, columnar water vapor and columnar cloud liquid water from the Special Sensor Microwave/Imager (SSM/I). SST cannot be obtained from SSM/I data because of the lack of measurements at 10.7 GHz.

The spatial resolution of TMI measurements of SST is 46 km. Individual measurements have been shown to have an accuracy of 0.5°C in rain-free conditions (Wentz 1998). The TMI measurements of SST were validated for the purposes of this study by comparing 3-day composite averages with collocated 3-day averages of in situ measurements of 1-m temperature from the TAO buoys. The buoy locations analyzed here are shown by the solid squares in Figure 1a. This selection of buoys spans the region of TIW variability that is the focus of this study. The mean and standard deviation of the differences computed from more than 1700 collocated composite averages were 0.15°C (TAO higher than TMI) and 0.41°C , respectively. There was no significant SST dependence of the relative bias or standard deviation (Figure 2a). There was, however, a small systematic dependence of the relative bias on wind speed; the mean difference decreased from about 0.4°C at 4 m s^{-1} to about zero at 9 m s^{-1} (Figure 2b).

The systematic wind speed dependence of the relative bias between TMI measurements of SST and TAO measurements of 1-m temperature is suggestive of differences between “cool skin” and “bulk” temperatures. Owing to sensible and evaporative heat fluxes and radiative cooling, the skin temperature is typically a few tenths of a degree Celsius cooler than the bulk temperature in light winds (Saunders 1967). This difference decreases with increasing wind speed and the skin layer is destroyed by whitecapping at wind speeds higher than about 10 m s^{-1} .

Estimates of the thickness of the skin layer in light to moderate winds vary but it is usually considered to be about 1 mm (Grassl 1976). Since the e -folding “optical depth” for microwave radiance at 10.7 GHz is about 1 mm, TMI estimates of SST are less susceptible to skin effects than infrared measurements, for which the optical depth is less than $10\ \mu\text{m}$. It therefore seems unlikely that all of the wind-speed dependent systematic temperature difference in Figure 2b can be attributed to differences between skin and bulk temperatures. The dependence of the differences between TAO and TMI temperature measurements on wind speed may therefore be an indication that the wind speed effects on the microwave brightness temperatures have not been completely removed in the TMI SST retrieval algorithm.

For present purposes, the conclusions of this study are not significantly altered by the possible small systematic error in TMI estimates of SST. We conclude that the SST fields constructed from 3-day averages of TMI data are sufficiently accurate to investigate the coupling between SST and the overlying wind field on the space and time scales of interest in this study.

The surface vector winds analyzed here were obtained from the SeaWinds scatterometer (Freilich et al. 1994) launched on 19 June 1999 onboard the QuikSCAT satellite. The geophysical data record began on 15 July 1999. The 3-month data record analyzed here extends from 21 July 1999 through 20 October 1999. As described in detail by Naderi et al. (1991), scatterometers infer wind speed and direction from measurements of microwave radar backscatter received from a given location on the sea surface at multiple antenna look angles. The wind retrievals are calibrated to the so-called neutral-stability wind at a height of 10 m above the sea surface, i.e., the wind that would exist if the atmospheric boundary layer was neutrally stable. The vector wind stress $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ and 10-m neutral stability vector wind \mathbf{v}_{10}^N are simply related by $\boldsymbol{\tau} = \rho C_d^N \mathbf{v}_{10}^N |\mathbf{v}_{10}^N|$, where $\rho \approx 1.2 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$ is the air density and C_d^N is the neutral stability drag coefficient (Large and Pond 1981).

The QuikSCAT instrument measures vector winds with 25-km resolution over a single 1600-km swath centered on the satellite ground track. The superiority of QuikSCAT coverage compared with previous scatterometers is discussed in detail by Schlax et al. (1999). The QuikSCAT swath width is 33% greater than its predecessor, the dual-swath NASA scatterometer (NSCAT), and more than 300% greater than the narrow 500-km swaths of the scatterometers onboard the ERS-1 and ERS-2 satellites launched by the European Space Agency. These sampling differences have a major impact on the accuracies of wind fields constructed from the various scatterometer datasets (Schlax et al. 1999). Sampling errors are especially a concern in the derivative wind fields (divergence and curl) that are of interest in sections 3 and 4.

Rain-contaminated QuikSCAT observations were flagged and eliminated from subsequent analysis by comparison with rain estimates from the three SSM/I satellites (F11, F13 and F14)

that are in operation simultaneous with QuikSCAT. A QuikSCAT observation was flagged when the nearest SSM/I measurement within 50 km yielded a nonzero rain rate estimate based on the algorithm described by Wentz and Spencer (1998). The closest collocations of SSM/I and QuikSCAT measurements were usually with the F13 satellite, for which the orbit differs by only 20 minutes from the QuikSCAT orbit.

The pre-launch algorithm used to derive the QuikSCAT vector winds analyzed in this study was assessed by comparing 3-day composite scalar averages of QuikSCAT wind speeds with collocated 3-day scalar-average wind speeds measured from the TAO buoys. Daily average TAO winds were converted to 10-m neutral stability wind speeds based on TAO measurements of air-sea temperature difference and surface humidity using the algorithm described by Fairall et al. (1996). The daily average TAO wind speeds were then scalar averaged to form 3-day averages for comparison with scalar average QuikSCAT wind speeds. The mean and standard deviation of the differences computed from more than 1700 collocated composite averages were 0.74 m s^{-1} (TAO higher than QuikSCAT) and 0.71 m s^{-1} , respectively. There were no significant dependencies of the relative bias or standard deviation on SST (Figure 3a) or wind speed (Figure 3b).

The TAO wind speed measurement accuracy has been estimated as 0.3 m s^{-1} (Dickinson et al. 1999). Most of this measurement uncertainty is due to calibration drifts. The error is therefore not significantly reduced in the 3-day averages considered here. A root sum of squares partitioning of the 0.71 m s^{-1} standard deviation of the difference between TAO and QuikSCAT wind speeds thus suggests a QuikSCAT wind speed measurement uncertainty of 0.64 m s^{-1} in the 3-day averages considered here.

The 0.74 m s^{-1} mean difference in Figure 3 may be an indication that the wind speeds from the QuikSCAT pre-launch algorithm are biased slightly low. A comprehensive calibration and validation study is underway by the QuikSCAT Science Working Team. For the purposes of this study, a bias of the QuikSCAT wind speeds has no effect on the derivative wind stress fields (divergence and curl) that are of primary interest in sections 3 and 4. The pre-launch algorithm is therefore adequate to address the coupling between the surface wind stress field

and the underlying SST field; the conclusions of this study are not altered if the QuikSCAT wind retrievals are adjusted to remove the possible 0.74 m s^{-1} bias.

3. The Coupling Between SST and Wind Stress

A recent analysis of TMI measurements of the westward propagating SST signatures of TIWs (Chelton et al. 1999b) revealed that Pacific TIWs were very energetic, both north and south of the equator, during the 3-month period considered here. This period coincides with a La Niña year, during which TIWs are generally found to be most energetic. This is in contrast to the NSCAT observational period, September 1996–June 1997, during which TIW amplitudes were small (Chelton et al. 1999a). Contemporaneous QuikSCAT and TMI data thus offer the first opportunity for high-resolution and dense sampling of TIW-induced ocean-atmosphere interaction in the tropical Pacific.

A 3-day average map of SST is shown in Figure 4a for the period 2–4 September 1999, which is a representative time period during the 3-month data record analyzed in this study.¹ The cusp-shaped features of the SST front along the north side of the equatorial cold tongue are characteristic of TIWs (Legeckis 1977). Note the clockwise rotation of the tips of the cold cusps along the northern SST front at about 160°W , 148°W , 139°W and 128° . Clockwise rotation is especially clear at 128°W . These features are indicative of anticyclonic particle velocities in the warm regions between successive cusps (Hansen and Paul 1984; Flament et al. 1996; Kennan and Flament 1999; Weidman et al. 1999). Each of the cold cusps is reproduced with remarkable fidelity in the corresponding 3-day average maps of the wind stress shown in Figures 4b,c. A similar coincidence of TIW-related perturbations of SST and wind stress magnitude is evident along the weaker cusp-shaped southern SST front. The wind stress is higher over warm water and lower over cold water. As summarized in the introduction, the close relationship between SST and wind stress magnitude is consistent with the SST-induced modification of atmospheric boundary layer stability hypothesized by Wallace et al. (1989).

¹ QuikTime animations of the SST and wind fields can be downloaded as files `sst_stress.mov` and `div_curl.mov` from anonymous ftp directory `pub/chelton/tiw_wind` on `dingo.oce.orst.edu`.

The wind stress response to geographical variations of the underlying SST field can be characterized in terms of the wind stress divergence, $\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}$, shown in Figure 4d. In the northern hemisphere, a band of high divergence follows the cusp patterns along the northern SST front where the wind stress increases as the air flows across isotherms toward warmer water. The largest wind stress divergences are located directly over the strongest SST gradients. It is visually apparent that the divergence is locally larger where the wind stress is aligned perpendicular to isotherms (i.e., parallel to the SST gradient vector).

The importance of the alignment between the wind stress and the SST gradient is also apparent from the relationship between the wind stress divergence and SST south of the equator. The SST gradients are weaker and spatially irregular and the isotherms tend to be more nearly aligned with the wind stress vectors, resulting in relatively small SST-induced downwind modification of the wind stress. Convergence of the wind stress is nonetheless apparent in patches where the wind stress decreases as the air flows across perturbed isotherms toward cooler water.

The hypothesized SST modification of the wind stress field can be independently investigated from the relationship between the crosswind SST gradient and the vertical component of the wind stress curl, $\nabla \times \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \hat{k}$, where \hat{k} is a unit vector in the vertical direction. Along the cuspy northern SST front, the wind stress curl is positive where the winds blow parallel to isotherms (i.e., perpendicular to the SST gradient vector) (see Figure 4e). This pattern in the wind stress curl field develops because the winds are stronger over the warmer water to the right of the wind direction, resulting in a lateral gradient of the wind stress that corresponds to a positive wind stress curl. Since the winds over the region of interest generally blow toward the northwest (see Figure 1b), the largest wind stress curl occurs on the southwestern boundaries of the warm anomalies between successive cold SST cusps (see Figure 4e).

In the southern hemisphere, large negative wind stress curl occurs in patches where the wind blows parallel to perturbed isotherms in regions where water is warmer to the left of the wind direction. The latitudinal extent of this band of negative wind stress curl is much

wider and the magnitudes are somewhat weaker than in the northern band of positive wind stress curl because the southern SST front is much broader than the northern SST front (see Figure 1a).

The band of persistent negative wind stress curl north of about 3°N in Figure 4e is noteworthy. This feature is a manifestation of large-scale eastward curving of the cross-equatorial winds (see Figure 1b). Lindzen and Nigam (1987), Mitchell and Wallace (1992) and others have noted that the contrast between the atmospheric boundary layer air over the equatorial cold tongue and the warmer air to the north enhances the northward sea-level pressure gradient in this region. The anticyclonic turning of the cross-equatorial flow north of 3°N where the Coriolis acceleration becomes important may occur because of geostrophic adjustment of the vertically integrated boundary layer flow to the SST-enhanced poleward pressure gradient (e.g., Young 1987; Tomas et al. 1999). This adjustment process is physically distinct from the SST-induced boundary layer modification of interest in this study. The statistical analyses of TIW-induced perturbations of the wind stress fields that follow are therefore restricted to the latitude range from 3°N to 5°S.

If the relations between the derivative wind stress fields and the underlying SST field described qualitatively above from the case study shown in Figure 4 are quantitatively correct, then the wind stress divergence will vary in proportion to the downwind SST gradient. This component of ∇T is given by the vector dot product $\nabla T \cdot \hat{\tau} = |\nabla T| \cos \theta$, where T is SST, $\hat{\tau}$ is a unit vector in the direction of the wind stress and θ is the counterclockwise angle from the vector ∇T to $\hat{\tau}$. Similarly, the wind stress curl will vary in proportion to the crosswind component of the SST gradient, which can be characterized by the vector cross product $\nabla T \times \hat{\tau} \cdot \hat{k} = |\nabla T| \sin \theta$.

Quantifying the relation between the derivative wind stress fields and the angle θ is complicated by the highly nonuniform (bimodal) distribution of θ (see thick solid line in Figure 5a). As shown by the dashed line in Figure 5a, the peak in the histogram centered near $\theta = -100^\circ$ is composed almost entirely of observations within the region south of the cold tongue (1°S to 5°S) where the winds blow approximately parallel to isotherms (i.e., ∇T

and $\hat{\tau}$ are approximately orthogonal). Similarly, it is apparent from the thin solid line in Figure 5a that the peak in the histogram centered near $\theta = 30^\circ$ is composed almost entirely of observations within the region north of the cold tongue (3°N to 1°S) where the winds blow obliquely across isotherms.

The 3-month statistics of the dependencies of the magnitudes of ∇T and $\nabla|\tau|$ on θ are summarized in Figures 5b,c. The mean values of $|\nabla T|$ were largest near the peaks in the histogram of θ . Note also that $|\nabla T|$ was about a factor-of-two larger on the north side of the cold tongue (positive θ) than on the south side of the cold tongue (negative θ centered near 100°). In contrast, the peaks in the histogram of $|\nabla|\tau||$ had similar amplitudes on opposite sides of the cold tongue. These differences in the northern versus southern magnitudes of ∇T and $\nabla|\tau|$ are visually apparent from the mean SST and wind stress fields in Figure 1.

The biases in the distributions of θ and $|\nabla T|$ make it difficult to isolate the predicted sinusoidal dependencies of $\nabla \cdot \tau$ and $\nabla \times \tau \cdot \hat{k}$ on θ since not all angles θ are adequately sampled. These biases are greatly reduced by isolating the TIW-induced perturbations that are of interest here by zonally high-pass filtering the SST and wind stress fields to remove variability with zonal wavelengths longer than 20° of longitude (about 2200 km). This filter cutoff is long enough to retain the 1000–2000 km wavelengths of TIWs yet short enough to remove the large-scale background wind variability that is unrelated to TIW-induced perturbations of the SST field. The perturbation SST and wind stress will be denoted by T' and τ' , respectively, and the angle between $\nabla T'$ and the unit vector $\hat{\tau}'$ that is aligned with the perturbation wind stress will be denoted as θ' . In anticipation of the different sensitivities of the derivative wind stress fields to SST gradients that are deduced below, the observations were also segregated into 4° latitudinal bands straddling the cold tongue centered near 1°S . As shown in Figures 6 and 7, the distributions of θ' , $|\nabla|\tau'||$ and $|\nabla T'|$ within each latitude band are much more nearly uniform than in the full SST and wind stress fields shown in Figure 5.

The 3-month statistics of the dependencies of the zonally high-pass filtered wind stress divergence on the angle θ' for the regions north and south of the cold tongue are summarized in Figure 8. In both latitude bands, there is remarkably good agreement between the binned

mean values of $\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}'$ and the expected cosine dependence on θ' . Similarly, the dependencies of the zonally high-pass filtered binned mean values of wind stress curl field on θ' agree very well with the expected sine dependence on θ' (Figure 9). At least on the spatial and temporal scales of TIWs, these results confirm the hypothesized dependencies of the derivative wind stress fields on the orientation of the wind stress relative to the SST gradient; the binned mean wind stress divergence or convergence is largest when the winds blow parallel to the SST gradient (across isotherms) and zero when the winds blow perpendicular to the SST gradient. Conversely, the binned mean wind stress curl is largest when the winds blow perpendicular to the SST gradient (along isotherms) and zero when the winds blow parallel to the SST gradient.

The statistical relationships between the perturbation derivative wind stress fields and the underlying perturbation SST gradient field over the 3-month period considered in this study are quantified for the regions north and south of the cold tongue in Figures 10 and 11, respectively. The binned mean values of the perturbation wind stress divergence, $\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}'$, vary linearly with the downwind perturbation SST gradient, $\nabla T' \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{\tau}}'$, both north and south of the cold tongue (Figures 10a and 11a). The different slopes of linear fits to the mean values in each bin indicate an asymmetric sensitivity of wind stress divergence to SST gradients on opposite sides of the cold tongue; the change of $\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}'$ for winds blowing across the southern SST front is about double the corresponding change of $\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}'$ for winds blowing across the northern SST front.

The reason for the asymmetric response of wind stress divergence on opposite sides of the cold tongue is not immediately apparent. This asymmetry is qualitatively apparent from Figures 1a,b and 5b,c. The mean SST gradient north of the cold tongue is about twice the mean SST gradient south of the cold tongue. In contrast, the mean gradient of the wind stress magnitude is nearly symmetric about the cold tongue. The ratio of $|\nabla T|$ to $|\nabla \boldsymbol{\tau}|$ is thus about twice as large on the south side of the cold tongue. The asymmetry in the mean SST gradient results in an asymmetry in the magnitudes of the SST gradients in the perturbation SST fields; the mean $|\nabla T'|$ are about twice as large north of the cold tongue as they are south

of the cold tongue (compare Figures 6c and 7c). The ratio of the amplitude of the cosine angular dependence of $\nabla \cdot \tau'$ to the magnitude of the SST gradient, $|\nabla T'|$, is therefore also about twice as large south of the cold tongue. This is a qualitative independent verification of the approximate factor-of-two larger sensitivity of the wind stress divergence south of the cold tongue.

The binned mean values of the wind stress curl, $\nabla \times \tau' \cdot \hat{k}$, vary linearly with the crosswind SST gradient, $\nabla T' \times \hat{\tau}' \cdot \hat{k}$, in both latitude bands (Figures 10c and 11c). The sensitivity of the wind stress curl field to lateral SST variations is only slightly larger south of the cold tongue than north of the cold tongue. Also note that the sensitivity of the wind stress curl to the crosswind SST gradient is significantly smaller than the sensitivity of the wind stress divergence to the downwind SST gradient (by about a factor of two south of the cold tongue and by somewhat less than a factor of two north of the cold tongue).

The difference in the coupling of the wind stress divergence and curl fields to the underlying SST field may be attributable to the finite time scale for adjustment of the boundary layer structure to changes of SST. For a convectively mixed layer, the adjustment time scale is on the order of $h/(w_0 + w_e)$, where h is the boundary layer thickness and w_0 and w_e are velocity scales for thermodynamic fluxes at the surface and mass entrainment at the top of the boundary layer, respectively (Betts 1983). For a surface wind speed of 5 m s^{-1} , a boundary layer thickness of 500 m and a boundary layer divergence of $5 \times 10^{-6} \text{ s}^{-1}$, the adjustment time is ~ 14 hours, which corresponds to a horizontal displacement of ~ 250 km.

For the case of flow across an SST front, the boundary layer must continually adjust to the changing SST in the downwind direction. The vertical structure of the boundary layer therefore cannot reach equilibrium until the flow has passed over the SST front into a region of relatively weak downwind SST gradient. For air blowing from warm to cool water, the convective and turbulent fluxes would be less than their equilibrium values as the boundary layer adjusts to the cooler surface boundary condition. Assuming that convection transports momentum toward the cool sea surface, the surface stress over the increasingly cooler water would be lower than for the case of an equilibrium boundary layer profile. This would result

in a horizontal convergence of the surface wind stress across the SST front with decreasing effect downwind. For air blowing from cool to warm water, the turbulent and convective fluxes would be enhanced relative to the equilibrium profile, thus resulting in a stronger horizontal divergence of the surface stress across the SST front.

The enhanced convergence and divergence of flow across isotherms can be contrasted with the boundary layer response for flow along an SST front. In this case, the weak downwind SST gradient along the trajectory of a given air parcel may allow sufficient time for the boundary layer to come in to equilibrium with the SST. As a consequence, the wind stress curl along the SST front may be weaker than for the non-equilibrated case. The finite time scale of boundary layer adjustment may therefore explain the weaker response of wind stress curl to the crosswind SST gradient compared with the wind stress divergence response to the downwind SST gradient.

The coupling between the derivative wind stress fields and the SST gradient field in Figures 10 and 11 were deduced from the zonally high-pass filtered wind stress and SST fields. The applicability of these coupling coefficients (the slopes of the least-squares fit lines in Figures 10 and 11) to the unfiltered wind stress and SST fields is shown in Figures 12 and 13. The dashed lines correspond to the least-squares fit straight lines from Figures 10 and 11. The solid circles are the binned mean values of the unfiltered wind stress divergence (Figures 12a and 13a) and unfiltered wind stress curl (Figures 12b and 13b), plotted as functions of the unfiltered downwind and crosswind SST gradients, respectively.

On the south side of the cold tongue (Figure 13), it is apparent that the linear relationships deduced from the zonally high-pass filtered fields are reproduced almost identically in the unfiltered wind stress and SST fields. On the north side of the cold tongue, however, the agreement between the binned mean values of the unfiltered wind stress divergence and curl and the linear relationships deduced from the zonally high-pass filtered fields is not as good (Figure 12). In the region of positive downwind SST gradients near the northern SST front, the coupling coefficient for the wind stress divergence deduced from the perturbation fields underestimates the wind stress divergence by roughly a constant value

of about $0.003 \text{ N m}^{-2}/100 \text{ km}$. Similarly, the coupling coefficient for the wind stress curl overestimates the wind stress curl by roughly the same amount near the northern SST front.

The misfits of the predicted wind stress divergence and curl responses to SST gradients in the unfiltered fields north of the cold tongue are likely an indication of processes other than SST modification of boundary layer stability that may affect the wind stress field, e.g., northward acceleration from the SST-induced pressure gradient force on the north side of the cold tongue that has been discussed by Lindzen and Nigam (1987), Mitchell and Wallace (1992), Young (1987) and Tomas et al. (1999). These other processes evidently have large zonal scale and are therefore eliminated by the zonal high-pass filtering applied to highlight TIW-related variability in Figures 10 and 11.

4. Westward Propagation

The case study maps in Figure 4 and the 3-month statistics in Figures 8–11 strongly suggest a phase locking between the perturbed derivative wind stress fields (divergence and curl) and the perturbed underlying SST field as the TIWs propagate westward. This is verified in Figures 14 and 15 from time-longitude plots along 1°N and 3°S , which bracket the cold tongue in the eastern tropical Pacific. Synchronized westward propagation is evident in all of the quantities. Contours of the downwind and crosswind SST gradients are overlaid on the color plots of wind stress divergence and wind stress curl in Figures 14c,d and 15c,d. The phase relationships between the components of the SST gradient and the derivative wind stress fields are seen to be consistent across longitude and time with the couplings deduced statistically from Figures 10 and 11.

Along the northern SST front (Figure 14), the characteristics of the westward propagating TIWs are very similar to those reported by Chelton et al. (1999) for the 1998-99 TIW season. During the time period considered here, the propagation was quite regular east of about 140°W with a westward phase speed of about 0.6 m s^{-1} . This is somewhat faster than the 0.53 m s^{-1} estimated by Chelton et al. (1999), but the difference could easily be explained by the larger uncertainty of the phase speed estimated from the short 3-month data record

analyzed here. An interesting feature of Figure 14 is the change in the westward phase speed west of about 140°W that occurred in late August. This is apparent in the SST, wind stress magnitude and wind stress divergence fields (Figures 14a,b,c), as well as in the contours of the downwind SST gradient overlaid on Figure 14c. The wind stress curl and crosswind SST gradient at these longitudes (Figure 14d) were too weak for the change of phase speed to be clearly seen.

Along the southern SST front (Figure 15), the characteristics of westward propagation were very different. During the first month of the data record, the southern TIWs propagated westward at about the same 0.6 m s^{-1} phase speed observed along 1°N . In mid August, the phase speed abruptly decreased to only about 0.25 m s^{-1} . The phase speed appears to have increased again in early October. These irregularities are surprising in view of the fact that Chelton et al. (1999) found $\sim 0.5 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ westward propagation on both sides of the equatorial cold tongue during the 1998-99 TIW season. The reason for the abrupt changes in the westward phase speed along 3°S during the 3-month period analyzed here is not yet known. These features of the westward propagation are reproduced coherently in the SST, wind stress magnitude, wind stress divergence and wind stress curl fields. Moreover, as in Figure 14, the contours of the downwind and crosswind SST gradient in Figures 15c and 15d, respectively, are phase locked with the wind stress divergence and curl in the manner deduced statistically from Figures 10 and 11.

The close coupling between the wind stress field and the underlying SST field along 3°S is further illustrated by the splitting of the westward propagating SST signal into two separate warm perturbations from mid August to mid September between 120°W and 130°W . This splitting is also apparent in the wind stress divergence field and in the associated downwind SST gradient (Figure 15c). Interestingly, the splitting is not clearly evident in the wind stress curl field in Figure 15d. However, consistent with the statistical relation in Figure 11c, the splitting is also not clearly evident in the associated crosswind SST gradient.

The distinctly different characteristics of the westward propagation along 1°N and 3°S are intriguing. The 3-month data record analyzed here is too short to examine this in detail. This

can be investigated further as the QuikSCAT and TMI data records continue to accumulate so that the westward propagation of the SST signatures of TIWs can be compared and contrasted for the 1998–99 and 1999-2000 TIW seasons.

5. Discussion and Conclusions

The three months of satellite microwave measurements of surface wind stress and SST analyzed here provide definitive observational evidence of ocean-atmosphere coupling in the eastern tropical Pacific. The southeastern Pacific tradewinds blow northwestward toward the oceanic equatorial cold tongue. The atmospheric boundary layer is stabilized by the cooler water. As first hypothesized by Wallace et al. (1989) from analysis of climatological average wind and SST fields, this decouples the surface winds from the winds aloft and decreases the surface winds, thus resulting in a convergence of the surface wind stress. As the low-level winds cross isotherms on the north side of the cold tongue, the atmospheric boundary layer is destabilized over the warmer water and the enhanced turbulent mixing of momentum from aloft increases the surface winds, resulting in a divergence of the surface wind stress.

TIW-induced perturbations of the SST fronts that bracket the equatorial cold tongue allow a detailed investigation of the structure of the SST-induced modification of the surface wind stress and its dependence on the orientation of the wind stress relative to perturbations of the SST gradient vector. The perturbation wind stress divergence varies linearly with the downwind component of the perturbation SST gradient. Convergence and divergence are thus locally strongest where the wind blows parallel to the SST gradient (perpendicular to isotherms). The perturbation wind stress curl varies linearly with the crosswind component of the perturbation SST gradient. In regions where the angle between the wind direction and the SST gradient is oblique, a wind stress curl thus develops from lateral variations of the SST-induced changes of the surface wind stress. The wind stress curl is locally strongest where the winds blow perpendicular to the SST gradient (parallel to isotherms).

The apparent strong and rapid atmospheric response to TIW-induced perturbations of the SST field suggests that ocean-atmosphere coupling plays an important role in the

thermodynamics of TIWs. The SST perturbations modify the atmospheric boundary layer in such a way as to induce negative thermodynamic feedback on the TIWs. Since the perturbed wind stress and SST fields propagate westward in synchronization with the TIWs, the high winds over warm water between successive cold cusps result in continuous upper-ocean heat loss through surface evaporation (Zhang and McPhaden 1995). In addition, the enhanced vertical mixing over the warmer water generates increased low-level cloudiness that reduces the solar insolation that reaches the sea surface (Deser et al. 1993). It has been estimated that the cooling by the combined effects of these two components of ocean-atmosphere heat flux may be as large as $\sim 0.5^\circ$ per month (Deser et al. 1993; McPhaden 1996).

The high space-time resolution afforded by QuikSCAT measurements of surface wind stress also reveals a strong dynamical feedback on the TIWs. The orientation of the wind stress relative to the isotherms along the cuspy northern SST front generates very strong perturbations of the wind stress curl that drives the ocean circulation. There is also a broad band of negative wind stress curl south of the equator with local intensification in regions where the wind blows parallel to TIW-induced perturbations of the weaker southern SST front. Without a theoretical analysis, which is beyond the scope of this study, the nature of the feedback of these wind stress curl patterns on TIWs is less clear than the simple negative thermodynamic feedback discussed above. Given the intensity of the wind stress curl perturbations and the fact that the locations of strongest wind stress curl propagate west in synchronization with the TIWs, there can be no doubt that this wind forcing must be taken into consideration in determining the dynamics and energetics of TIWs, and therefore in assessing the impact of TIWs on the large-scale momentum and heat budgets of the eastern tropical Pacific.

The ocean-atmosphere coupling demonstrated here is also important on scales much larger than those associated with TIW variability. Large-scale ocean circulation outside of the equatorial waveguide is driven by the wind stress curl. The broad band of negative wind stress curl on the south side of the cold tongue, as well as the narrow band of positive wind stress curl just north of the equator in the 3-month average shown in Figure 16b are direct responses

of the wind stress to the underlying SST field. The southern tradewinds blow approximately parallel to the isotherms in the broad time-averaged southern SST front (Figure 1), resulting in the broad band of strong wind stress curl south of the cold tongue. Likewise, the wind stress blows at an oblique angle across the isotherms along the narrow northern SST front, resulting in the narrow band of positive wind stress curl north of the cold tongue.

The bands of positive and negative wind stress curl at higher northern latitudes on the north and south sides of the ITCZ, respectively, (see Figure 16b) are evidently associated with processes distinct from the SST modification of atmospheric boundary layer stability considered in this study. However, these features of the wind stress curl field are important to wind forced ocean circulation in the tropics. The intensities of the banded structures of the wind stress curl field are considerably underestimated and their narrow meridional scales are poorly resolved in wind stress curl fields constructed from all other sources of surface wind information (e.g., Rienecker et al. 1996).

The meteorological significance of the SST-induced modifications of the surface wind field on deeper atmospheric circulations in the vicinities of the cold tongue and ITCZ is less clear without measurements of the winds aloft. The analysis of Wallace et al. (1989) and the results of this study suggest that the band of wind stress divergence north of the cold tongue and the associated band of wind stress convergence south of the cold tongue in the 3-month average shown in Figure 16a are direct responses to SST-induced modification of the surface wind field. Deser and Wallace (1990) and Mitchell and Wallace (1992) have noted that the intensities of the equatorial cold tongue and cross-equatorial winds in the band between the equator and about 8°N are highly correlated with variations in the intensity and latitude of the ITCZ. It is thus possible that the SST-induced surface wind stress features are part of the deep meridional overturning of the Hadley-type circulation over the eastern Pacific.

Alternatively, the impacts of the surface divergence field implied by the QuikSCAT data may be confined to the atmospheric boundary layer. The modeling results of Xie et al. (1998) suggest that the TIW-induced perturbations may penetrate several kilometers above the sea surface and may even weakly perturb the ITCZ. The intensity of the zonal bands of divergence

and convergence in Figure 16a warrant further modeling studies and an in situ observational field program to investigate the vertical structure of the wind field in order to quantify the relationship between the SST-induced modification of the atmospheric boundary layer and the atmospheric circulation aloft.

The observed geographical structure of the surface wind stress field (Figure 1b) raises important questions about coupled ocean-atmosphere interaction in the eastern tropical Pacific. Zonal wind stress in the equatorial wave guide cannot explain the observed equatorial asymmetry and meridional scale of the cold tongue. Mitchell and Wallace (1992) showed that seasonal variations of the intensity of the equatorial cold tongue are much more highly correlated with the meridional wind stress than with the zonal wind stress. They hypothesized that this is because of a positive feedback in which the northern SST front accelerates the winds north of the cold tongue and that these northward winds generate upwelling of cold water just south of the equator, thereby sustaining the cold tongue that causes the winds to accelerate across the SST front. There is some modeling evidence to support this speculation. Cane (1979) showed that the ocean response to uniform northward wind generates stronger upwelling south of the equator than north of the equator. Philander and Pacanowski (1981) suggested that this upwelling originates as coastal upwelling along the eastern boundary at low latitudes in the southern hemisphere and then spreads rapidly westward by a combination of advection and Rossby wave propagation.

It is noteworthy that the numerical studies by Cane (1979) and Philander and Pacanowski (1981) both considered the ocean response to the sudden onset of steady, uniform meridional winds. This simple pattern of spatially uniform northward wind stress is a highly idealized representation of the actual wind field. As shown in Figure 17b (see also Figure 1b), the meridional wind stress is very nonuniform in the QuikSCAT observations. The SST-induced modification of the overlying wind stress results in more than a factor-of-two reduction of the northward component of wind stress over the equatorial cold tongue. North of the cold tongue, the northward component of wind stress increases by more than a factor of three. A

realistic simulation of the cold tongue response to wind forcing must take into consideration this large latitudinal variation of the meridional wind stress field.

The QuikSCAT observations also clearly show the very inhomogeneous structure of the zonal component of the wind stress field (Figure 17b). East of about 130°W , the zonal wind stress is very weak over the cold tongue and is highly asymmetric about the equator. On the south side of the cold tongue, maximum easterly winds occur at about 12°S . The easterly wind stress decreases to a local minimum over the cold tongue and then increases slightly just north of the cold tongue before decreasing again and then turning westerly at higher latitudes. The maximum westerly winds occur at about 8°N .

The combined patterns of the zonal and meridional components of the wind stress in Figure 17 create the banded structure of the wind stress curl field discussed above (Figure 16b). As noted previously, the observed structure of the wind stress curl field is poorly represented in all other sources of surface wind information. The intensity of the wind stress curl patterns strongly suggests that *both* components of the surface wind stress field must be taken into consideration when investigating the wind-driven circulation throughout the eastern tropical Pacific (even close to the equator). There is clearly a need for additional modeling studies of the wind-forced response of the eastern tropical Pacific.

The ocean-atmosphere interaction demonstrated from the QuikSCAT and TMI data also emphasizes the necessity of including stability-dependent boundary layer effects for realistic coupled ocean-atmosphere modeling of the eastern tropical Pacific. This presents challenges for simplified theoretical models of the coupled system. It is possible that the effects of these boundary layer processes are not adequately represented even in comprehensive coupled general circulation models. Mechoso et al. (1995) has shown that these models are not able to reproduce the observed climatological structure of eastern Pacific SST patterns. The equatorial cold tongues in these models tend to be too strong, too restricted latitudinally and extend too far to the west. The warm pool region to the north of the cold tongue is poorly simulated and varies significantly between different models. In addition, the simulated temperatures south of the cold tongue tend to be warmer than is observed. These systematic

errors may be due in part to errors in the wind stress and wind stress curl fields owing to inadequate representation of the strong ocean-atmosphere coupling revealed by the QuikSCAT observations of wind stress and TMI observations of SST.

Acknowledgments.

The research presented in this paper was supported by contracts 957580 and 959351 from the Jet Propulsion Laboratory funded under the NSCAT Announcement of Opportunity, NOAA Grant NA96GP0368 from the Office of Global Programs, NASA TRMM contract NAS5-9919, NASA's Earth Science Information Partnership through contract SUB1998-101 from the University of Alabama at Huntsville and NOAA's Office of Oceanic and Atmospheric Research.

References

Baturin, N. G., and P. P. Niiler, 1997: Effects of instability waves in the mixed layer of the equatorial Pacific. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **102**, 27,771–27,793.

Betts, A. K., 1983: Thermodynamics of mixed stratocumulus layers: Saturation point budgets. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **40**, 2655–2670.

Bond, N. A., 1992: Observations of planetary boundary-layer structure in the eastern equatorial Pacific. *J. Clim.*, **5**, 699–706.

Bryden, H., and E. C. Brady, 1989: Eddy momentum and heat fluxes and their effects on the circulation of the equatorial Pacific Ocean. *J. Mar. Res.*, **47**, 55–79.

Cane, M. A., 1979: The response of an equatorial ocean to simple wind stress patterns: II. Numerical results. *J. Mar. Res.*, **37**, 253–299.

Chelton, D. B., M. G. Schlax, J. M. Lyman and R. A. de Szoeke, 1999a: The latitudinal structure of monthly variability of sea surface height in the tropical Pacific. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, submitted (under revision).

Chelton, D. B., F. J. Wentz, C. L. Gentemann, R. A. de Szoeke and M. G. Schlax, 1999b: Microwave SST observations of transequatorial tropical instability waves. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, in press.

Cox, M., 1980: Generation and propagation of 30-day waves in a numerical model of the Pacific. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, **10**, 1168–1186.

Deser, C., and J. M. Wallace, 1990: Large-scale atmospheric circulation features of warm and cold episodes in the tropical Pacific. *J. Climate*, **3**, 1265–1281.

Deser, C., J. J. Bates and S. Wahl, 1993: The influence of sea surface temperature gradients on stratiform cloudiness along the equatorial front in the Pacific Ocean. *J. Clim.*, **6**, 1172–1180.

Dickinson, S., K. A. Kelly, M. J. Caruso, and M. J. McPhaden, 1999: A note on comparisons between TAO buoy and NASA scatterometer wind vectors. *J. Atmos. Oceanic Technol.*, submitted.

Fairall, C. W., E. F. Bradley, D. P. Rogers, J. B. Edson, and G. S. Young, 1996: Bulk parameterization of air-sea fluxes for Tropical Ocean-Global Atmosphere Coupled-Ocean Atmosphere Response Experiment. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **101**, 3747–3764.

Flament, P. J., S. C. Kennan, R. A. Knox, P. P. Niiler and R. L. Bernstein, 1996: The three-dimensional structure of an upper ocean vortex in the tropical Pacific Ocean. *Nature*, **383**, 610–613.

Freilich, M. H., D. G. Long, and M. W. Spencer, 1994: SeaWinds: A Scanning Scatterometer for ADEOS II - Science Overview. *Proc. Int. Geosci. Rem. Sens. Symposium*, Pasadena, California, August 8-12, pp. 960–963.

Grassl, H., 1976: The dependence of the measured cool skin of the ocean on wind stress and total heat flux. *Bound.-Layer Meteor.*, **10**, 465–474.

Hansen, D. V., and C. A. Paul, 1984: Genesis and effects of long waves in the equatorial Pacific. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **89**, 10,431–10,440.

Hayes, S. P., M. J. McPhaden and J. M. Wallace, 1989: The influence of sea-surface temperature on surface wind in the eastern equatorial Pacific: Weekly to monthly variability. *J. Clim.*, **2**, 1500-1506.

Kennan, S. C., and P. J. Flament, 1999: Observations of a tropical instability vortex. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, in press.

Kummerow, C., W. Barnes, T. Kozu, J. Shiue, and J. Simpson, 1998: The Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) Sensor Package. *J. Atmos. Ocean Technol.*, **15**, 808–816.

Large, W. G., and S. Pond, 1981: Open ocean momentum flux measurements in moderate to strong winds. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, **11**, 324–336.

Legeckis, R., 1977: Long waves in the eastern equatorial Pacific Ocean: A view from a geostationary satellite. *Science*, **197**, 1179-1181.

Lindzen, R. S., and S. Nigam, 1987: On the role of sea-surface temperature gradients in forcing low-level winds and convergence in the tropics. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **44**, 2418–2436.

- Luther, D. S., and E. S. Johnson, 1990: Eddy energetics in the upper equatorial Pacific during Hawaii-to-Tahiti Shuttle Experiment. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, **20**, 913–944.
- McPhaden, M. J., 1996: Monthly period oscillations in the Pacific North Equatorial Countercurrent. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **101**, 6337–6359.
- McPhaden, M. J., A. J. Busalacchi, R. Cheney, J.-R. Donguy, K. S. Gage, D. Halpern, M. Ji, P. Julian, G. Meyers, G. T. Mitchum, P. P. Niiler, J. Picaut, R. W. Reynolds, N. Smith and K. Takeuchi, 1998: The Tropical Ocean-Global Atmosphere observing system: A decade of progress. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **103**, 14,169–14,240.
- Mechoso, C. R., et al., 1995: The seasonal cycle over the tropical Pacific in coupled ocean-atmosphere general circulation models. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **123**, 2825–2838.
- Miller, L., D. R. Watts, and M. Wimbush, 1985: Oscillations of dynamic topography in the eastern equatorial Pacific. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, **15**, 1759–1770.
- Mitchell, T. P., and J. M. Wallace, 1992: The annual cycle in equatorial convection and sea surface temperature. *J. Clim.*, **5**, 1140–1156.
- Naderi, F. M., M. H. Freilich and D. G. Long, 1991: Spaceborne radar measurement of wind velocity over the ocean – An overview of the NSCAT scatterometer system. *Proc. IEEE*, **97**, 850–866.
- Philander, S. G. H., 1978: Instabilities of zonal equatorial currents, Part 2. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **83**, 3679–3682.
- Philander, S. G. H., and R. C. Pacanowski, 1981: The ocean response to cross-equatorial winds (with application to coastal upwelling in low latitudes). *Tellus*, **33**, 201–210.
- Qiao, L., and R. H. Weisberg, 1995: Tropical instability wave kinematics: Observations from the Tropical Instability Wave Experiment. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **100**, 8677–8693.
- Rienecker, M. M., R. Atlas, S. D. Schubert and C. S. Willett, 1996: A comparison of surface wind products over the North Pacific Ocean. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **101**, 1011–1023.
- Reverdin, G., C. Frankignoul, E. Kestenare and M. J. McPhaden, 1994: Seasonal variability in the surface currents of the equatorial Pacific. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **99**, 20,323–20,344.

- Saunders, P. M., 1967: The temperature at the ocean-air interface. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **24**, 269–273.
- Schlax, M. G., D. B. Chelton and M. H. Freilich, 1999: The effects of sampling errors in wind fields constructed from single and tandem satellite scatterometer missions. *J. Atmos. Ocean. Tech.*, submitted.
- Tomas, R. A., J. R. Holton and P. J. Webster, 1999: The influence of cross-equatorial pressure gradients on the location of near-equatorial convection. *Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.*, **125**, 1107–1127.
- Wallace, J. M., T. P. Mitchell and C. Deser, 1989: The influence of sea surface temperature on surface wind in the eastern equatorial Pacific: Seasonal and interannual variability. *J. Clim.*, **2**, 1492–1499.
- Wang, W., and M. J. McPhaden, 1999: The surface layer heat balance in the equatorial Pacific Ocean, Part I: The mean seasonal cycle. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, **29**, 1812–1831.
- Weidman, P. D., D. L. Mickler, B. Dayyani and G. H. Born, 1999: Analysis of Legeckis eddies in the near-equatorial Pacific. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **104**, 7865–7887.
- Wentz, F. J., 1997: A well-calibrated ocean algorithm for special sensor microwave/imager. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **102**, 8703–8718.
- Wentz, F. J., 1998: Algorithm Theoretical Basis Document: AMSR Ocean Algorithm, *Tech. Rept. 110398*, Remote Sensing Systems, Santa Rosa, CA, November.
- Wentz, F. J., and R. W. Spencer, 1998: SSM/I rain retrievals within a unified all-weather ocean algorithm, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **55**, 1613–1627.
- Xie, S.-P., M. Ishiwatari, H. Hashizume and K. Takeuchi, 1998: Coupled ocean-atmospheric waves on the equatorial front. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **25**, 3863–3866.
- Young, J. A., 1987: Boundary layer dynamics of tropical and monsoonal flows. Chapter 14 in *Monsoon Meteorology*, C.-P. Chang and T. N. Krishnamurti, Eds., Oxford University Press, pp. 461–500.
- Zhang, G. J., and M. J. McPhaden, 1995: The relationship between sea surface temperature and latent heat flux in the equatorial Pacific. *J. Climate*, **8**, 589–605.

Figure Captions

Figure 1. Three-month averages (21 July–20 October 1999) over 2° of longitude by 2° of latitude: (a) TMI measurements of sea surface temperature; and (b) QuikSCAT measurements of surface wind stress. The locations of TAO buoys are shown as squares in (a). The solid squares correspond to the buoys used in section 2 to validate the satellite measurements of SST and winds. The white regions in the maps represent missing data owing to island and continental land contamination in the microwave footprints. The tongue of low wind stress northwest of the Galapagos indicates the presence of a wind shadow that extends almost 200 km in the lee of the islands.

Figure 2. Binned scatter-plot comparison between 3-day averages of TMI measurements of SST and TAO measurements of temperature at a depth of 1 m at the locations shown by the solid squares in Figure 1a. The differences between TAO and TMI measurements of temperature were binned as functions of (a) the average of the two temperature measurements; and (b) TAO measurements of wind speed. Solid circles and vertical bars represent mean and ± 1 standard deviation of the scatter of points within each bin.

Figure 3. Binned scatter-plot comparisons between 3-day averages of QuikSCAT and TAO measurements of 10-m neutral-stability winds at the locations shown by the solid squares in Figure 1a. The differences between TAO and QuikSCAT wind speeds were binned as functions of (a) TAO measurements of temperature at a depth of 1 m; and (b) the average of the two wind speed measurements. Solid circles and vertical bars represent mean and ± 1 standard deviation of the scatter of points within each bin.

Figure 4. Three-day average maps over the period 2–4 September 1999: (a) sea surface temperature with TAO mooring locations shown as squares; (b) wind stress magnitude; (c) wind stress; (d) wind stress divergence; and (e) wind stress curl. The contours overlaid on panels (b)–(d) correspond to isotherms at intervals of 1°C between 21°C and 27°C . The SST and wind stress fields were smoothed to remove variability with wavelengths shorter

than 2° of latitude by 2° of longitude. To suppress the amplification of sampling errors, the derivative fields (divergence and curl) were further smoothed zonally to remove variability with wavelengths shorter than 4° of longitude.

Figure 5. Binned scatter plots of the angular dependencies of: (a) the number of observations for the longitude range 150°W to 100°W and the latitude ranges 3°N to 5°S (thick solid line), 3°N to 1°S on the north side of the cold tongue (thin solid line) and 1°S to 5°S on the south side of the cold tongue; (b) the magnitude of $\nabla|\tau|$; (c) the magnitude of ∇T . The abscissa is the counterclockwise angle θ from the SST gradient vector ∇T to the wind stress vector τ . Solid circles and vertical bars in panels (b) and (c) represent the mean and ± 1 standard deviation of the scatter of points within each bin over the longitude range 150°W to 100°W and the latitude range 3°N to 5°S .

Figure 6. The same as Figure 5, except for the perturbation SST and wind stress fields, T' and τ' , over the longitude range 150°W to 100°W and latitude range 3°N to 1°S on the north side of the cold tongue. The abscissa is the counterclockwise angle θ' from the perturbation SST gradient vector $\nabla T'$ to the perturbation wind stress vector τ' .

Figure 7. The same as Figure 6, except for the perturbation SST and wind stress fields, T' and τ' , over the longitude range 150°W to 100°W and latitude range 1°S to 5°S on the south side of the cold tongue.

Figure 8. Binned scatter plots of the angular dependencies of zonally high-pass filtered wind stress divergence, $\nabla \cdot \tau'$, over the longitude range 150°W to 100°W for: (a) the latitude range 3°N to 1°S on the north side of the cold tongue; and (b) the latitude range 1°S to 5°S on the south side of the cold tongue. Solid circles and vertical bars represent the mean and ± 1 standard deviation of the scatter of points within each bin and the smooth curves represent least-squares fits to a cosine.

Figure 9. The same as Figure 8, except the angular dependencies of zonally high-pass filtered wind stress curl, $\nabla \times \tau' \cdot \hat{k}$. The smooth curves represent least-squares fits to a sine.

Figure 10. Binned scatter plots of the relationships between the zonally high-pass filtered SST and wind stress fields in the longitude range 150°W to 100°W and latitude range 3°N to 1°S on the north side of the cold tongue: (a) the wind stress divergence, $\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}'$, plotted as a function of the downwind SST gradient, $\nabla T' \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{\tau}}'$; (b) the wind stress curl, $\nabla \times \boldsymbol{\tau}' \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{k}}$, plotted as a function of the crosswind SST gradient, $\nabla T' \times \hat{\boldsymbol{\tau}}' \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{k}}$; and (c) histograms of the number of observations within each bin for panel (a) (thick line) and panel (b) (thin line). Solid circles and vertical bars in panels (a) and (b) represent the mean and ± 1 standard deviation of the scatter of points within each bin and the smooth lines through the binned means represent least-squares fits to straight lines.

Figure 11. The same as Figure 10, except for the zonally high-pass filtered fields in the longitude range 150°W to 100°W and latitude range 1°S to 5°S on the south side of the cold tongue.

Figure 12. The same as Figure 10, except for the unfiltered SST and wind stress fields in the longitude range 150°W to 100°W and latitude range 3°N to 1°S on the north side of the cold tongue. The dashed lines correspond to the coupling coefficients between the northern perturbation fields shown in Figure 10.

Figure 13. The same as Figure 11, except for the unfiltered SST and wind stress fields in the longitude range 150°W to 100°W and latitude range 1°S to 5°S on the south side of the cold tongue. The dashed lines correspond to the coupling coefficients between the southern perturbation fields shown in Figure 11.

Figure 14. Time-longitude plots along 1°N on the north side of the cold tongue showing zonally high-pass filtered SST and wind stress fields: (a) SST; (b) wind stress magnitude with contours of SST overlaid; (c) wind stress divergence with contours of the downwind SST gradient, $\nabla T' \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{\tau}}'$, overlaid; and (d) wind stress curl with contours of the crosswind SST gradient, $\nabla T' \times \hat{\boldsymbol{\tau}}' \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{k}}$, overlaid. Contour intervals are 0.5°C in panel (b) and $0.4^\circ\text{C}/100\text{ km}$ in panels (c) and (d). For clarity, zero contours have been omitted from panels (b)–(d).

Figure 15. The same as Figure 14, except time-longitude plots of zonally high-pass filtered SST and wind stress fields along 3°S on the south side of the cold tongue. In order to reveal the weaker signals south of the equator, the contour intervals are half those used in Figure 14.

Figure 16. Three-month averages (21 July–20 October 1999) over 4° of longitude by 2° of latitude: (a) wind stress divergence; and (b) wind stress curl constructed from QuikSCAT measurements of wind stress. Contours correspond to isotherms from Figure 1a at intervals of 1°C between 21°C and 27°C .

Figure 17. Three-month averages (21 July–20 October 1999) over 4° of longitude by 2° of latitude constructed from QuikSCAT observations: (a) the zonal component of wind stress; and (b) the meridional component of wind stress. Contour interval is 0.01 N m^{-2} and negative contours are shown as dashed lines in both panels.